

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,712	DROTTAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dylan White	2819	

All Participants:

(1) Dylan White.

(2) Robert Madden.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2007

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes; provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner discussed two changes to the Figures for clarity purposes, prior to the Examiner finding a prior art reference which read on the current claims. The Examiner suggest the Applicant to hold on to the proposed amendments to the drawings.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Reynold Bannie 03/15/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)